

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/698,196	10/30/2003	Michael Harville	200313240-1	3553
22879 HEWLETT PA	7590 01/07/200 ACKARD COMPANY	9	EXAM	IINER
PO BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTIELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS. CO. 80527-2400			BURGESS, BARBARA N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	-,		2457	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/07/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JERRY.SHORMA@HP.COM mkraft@hp.com ipa.mail@hp.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/698 196 HARVILLE ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit BARBARA N. BURGESS 2457 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 October 2008. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1 and 38-76 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 38-76 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

application from the international bureau (P	CT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	he certified copies not received.	
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S6/08)	5). Notice of Informal Patent Application.	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage

Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/698,196

Art Unit: 2457

DETAILED ACTION

This Office action is in response to Appeal Brief filed October 21, 2008. The finality of claims 1, 38-76 has been withdrawn. Claims 1, 38-76 are presented for further examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filled under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filled in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

 Claims 1, 38-76 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lumelsky et al. (hereinafter "Lume", US Patent 6,529,950).

As per claim 1, Lume discloses a method for managing a streaming media service, said method comprising:

- receiving a request for a service from a client, said service comprising a service component (col. 5, lines 61-67);
- selecting a service location manager (negotiator) to which to provide said request from a plurality of service location managers (col. 6, lines 3-18); and

Art Unit: 2457

selecting a service provider to which to assign said service component from a
plurality of service providers of a network, wherein said selecting said service
provider is performed by said service location manager (col. 6, lines 25-33, 5059);

 informing said service provider of said assignment to perform said media service component, causing said service provider to prepare to perform said streaming media service on streaming media (col. 3, lines 60-67, col. 8, lines 26-30).

As per claim 38, Lume discloses wherein said selecting said service location manager comprises:

- maintaining a record comprising identifying information of a service location manager among said plurality of service location managers (col. 8, lines 22-30);
 and
- selecting said service location manager according to said record (col. 6, lines 60-66).

As per claim **39**, Lume discloses wherein said selecting said service location manager comprises:

 maintaining a record comprising a prioritized list of at least one service location manager among said plurality of service location managers (col. 8, lines 20-30);
 and

Art Unit: 2457

selecting said service location manager according to the order of priority of said

list of said record (col. 8, lines 57-67).

As per claim ${f 40}$, Lume discloses wherein said selecting said service location manager

comprises:

• maintaining a record comprising identifying information for a set of service

location managers among said plurality of service location managers (col. 8, lines

22-33) and

· selecting said service location manager randomly from said record (col. 8, lines

57-67).

As per claim 41, Lume discloses wherein said selecting said service location manager

comprises:

maintaining a record comprising identifying information for a set of service

location managers among said plurality of service location managers (col. 9, lines

57-67); and

• selecting said service location manager in a round robin manner from said record

(col. 8, lines 57-67).

As per claim 42. Lume discloses:

wherein said selecting said service location manager comprises a comparison of

processing loads of at least two service location managers among said plurality

of service location managers (col. 3, lines 51-61).

As per claim 43, Lume discloses:

· wherein said selecting said service location manager comprises a comparison of

available resources of a first set of service providers supervised by said service

location manager and available resources of a second set of service providers

supervised by a second service location manager (col. 4, lines 61-67,col. 4, lines

1-8).

As per claim 44, Lume discloses:

· wherein said selecting said service location manager is based on an estimate of

a network communication condition between two entities connected by the

network (col. 6, lines 62-67 and col. 4, lines 1-17).

As per claim 45, Lume discloses:

wherein said estimate of said network communication condition is associated

with said client (col. 6, lines 1-10 and col. 4, lines 50-67).

As per claim 46, Lume discloses:

 wherein said estimate of said network communication condition is associated with a content source of said streaming media (column 6, lines 25-34).

As per claim 47, Lume discloses wherein said selecting said service location manager is based on one of the group consisting of:

 pending service application request queue length of a service location manager, expected latency of a service location manager for assigning said service request, and available network communication bandwidth of a service location manager (col. 8, lines 25-34).

As per claim 48, Lume discloses:

 notifying a second service location manager among said plurality of service location managers of the assignment of said service provider to perform said media service component (col. 5, lines 35-60).

As per claim 49, Lume discloses:

 notifying a second service location manager among said plurality of service location managers of the completion of performance of said media service component (col. 6, lines 45-60).

As per claim 50, Lume discloses:

 a second service location manager assuming the role of said service location manager if said service location manager is determined to be non-responsive (col. 6. lines 53-67).

As per claim 51, Lume further discloses:

- maintaining a record comprising identifying information of a set of service location managers among said plurality of service location managers, each service location manager of said set of service location managers supervising said service provider (col. 6, lines 50-67 and col. 8, lines 3-17).
- notifying said set of service location managers according to said record of said assignment of said service provider to perform said media service component (col. 8, lines 33-40).

As per claim 52, Lume discloses:

 wherein said maintaining and said notifying is performed by said service provider or said service location manager (col. 6, lines 50-67).

As per claim 53, Lume further discloses:

 maintaining a record comprising identifying information of a set of service location managers among said plurality of service location managers, each service location manager of said set of service location managers supervising said service provider (col. 8, lines 44-57).

Art Unit: 2457

notifying said set of service location managers according to said record of the

completion of performance of said media service component by said service

provider (col. 8, lines 55-67).

As per claim 54, Lume discloses:

· wherein said maintaining and said notifying is performed by said service provider

or said service location manager (col. 3, lines 11-17).

As per claim 55, Lume discloses:

wherein said service provider is supervised by more than one service location

manager among said plurality of service location managers (col. 6, lines 57-67).

As per claim **56**, Lume discloses a system for providing streaming content to a client

device, said system comprising:

a plurality of service location managers (col. 6, lines 3-18);

• a plurality of service providers (col. 6, lines 25-35),

each service provider capable of performing a service on an item of streaming

input content to produce said streaming content (col. 6, lines 50-59); and

a portal providing a first point of contact for said client device, said portal for

receiving from said client device a request for performance of said service on an

item of streaming input content, said portal for selecting a service location

manager to which to provide said request from said plurality of service location

managers, said service location manager for receiving said request from said portal and for selecting a service provider from said plurality of service providers and informing said service provider of said assignment to perform said service on said streaming input content to produce said streaming content (col. 5, lines 60-67, col. 6, lines 25-59, col. 8, lines 25-35).

As per claim 57, Lume discloses:

 wherein said portal maintains a record comprising a prioritized listing of at least one service location manager among said plurality of service location managers and selects said service location manager in order of priority according to said prioritized listing (col. 6, lines 25-40).

As per claim 58, Lume discloses:

wherein said portal maintains a record comprising identifying information of a set
of service location managers among said plurality of service location managers
and selects said service location manager in a round robin manner from said
record (col. 6, lines 20-35).

As per claim 59, Lume discloses:

 wherein said portal selects said service location manager by comparing processing loads of at least two service location managers among said plurality of service location managers (col. 3, lines 51-67).

As per claim 60, Lume discloses:

• wherein said portal selects said service location manager by comparing available

resources of a first set of service providers supervised by said service location

manager and available resources of a second set of service providers supervised

by a second service location manager (col. 4, lines 61-67 and col. 5, lines 1-8).

As per claim 61, Lume discloses:

wherein said portal selects said service location manager based on an estimate

of a network communication condition between two entities connected by the

network (col. 8, lines 50-67).

As per claim 62, Lume discloses:

wherein said service location manager notifies a second service location

manager among said plurality of service location managers of said assignment of

said service provider to perform said service (col. 9, lines 22-40).

As per claim 63, Lume discloses:

wherein said portal determines if said service location manager of said plurality of

service location managers is non-responsive (col. 9 , lines 53-67).

As per claim 64, Lume discloses:

wherein said portal activates a second service location manager of said plurality
of service location managers to assume the role of said service location
manager, provided said portal determines said service location manager to be
non-responsive (col. 6 , lines 53-67).

As per claim 65, Lume discloses:

 wherein said service provider is supervised by more than one service location manager of said plurality of service location managers (col. 5, lines 65-67 and col. 6, lines 1-8).

As per claim 66, Lume discloses:

 wherein said service provider maintains a record comprising identifying information of service location managers that supervise it (col. 6, lines 50-67).

As per claim 67, Lume discloses:

 wherein said service provider notifies said service location managers that supervise it of said assignment to perform said service (col. 8, lines 1-20).

As per claim 68, Lume discloses:

 wherein said service provider notifies said service location managers that supervise it of completion of performance of said service by said service provider (col. 6, lines 22-35).

Art Unit: 2457

As per claim 69, Lume discloses:

wherein said service location manager maintains a record comprising identifying

information of a second service location manager that also supervises said

service provider (col. 5, lines 47-58 and col. 6, lines 3-17).

As per claim 70, Lume discloses:

· wherein said service location manager notifies said second service location

manager of said assignment of said service provider to perform said service (col.

8, lines 45-57).

As per claim 71, Lume discloses:

wherein said service location manager notifies said second service location

manager of completion of performance of said service by said service provider

(col. 8, lines 50-67).

As per claim 72, Lume discloses:

· wherein said service provider is supervised by a first service location manager,

and said first service location manager transfers supervision of said service

provider to a second service location manager (col. 6, lines 40-58).

As per claim 73. Lume discloses:

Application/Control Number: 10/698,196

Art Unit: 2457

 wherein said transfer is based on a computational load of said first service location manager (col. 3, lines 51-61).

As per claim 74, Lume discloses:

 wherein said transfer is based on availability of resources of a service provider supervised by said second service location manager (col. 5, lines 40-58 and col. 6, lines 3-17).

As per claim 75, Lume discloses:

 wherein said service provider is selected to be supervised by said service location manager based on a network communication condition between said service location manager and said service provider (col. 3, lines 62-67 and col. 4, lines 1-17).

As per claim 76, Lume discloses:

 wherein said plurality of service location managers comprises a master service location manager that monitors the status of other service location managers of said plurality of service location managers (col. 3, lines 62-67 and col. 5, lines 1-17.

Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number: 10/698,196

Art Unit: 2457

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BARBARA N. BURGESS whose telephone number is (571)272-3996. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00am-4:00pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on (571) 272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Barbara N Burgess/ Examiner, Art Unit 2457

January 2, 2009

Barbara N Burgess Examiner Art Unit 2457

Art Unit: 2457

/ARIO ETIENNE/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2457